<u>Date</u>: 3/16/2022 <u>Location</u>: 2601

Present (voting members): John Chambers (co-chair), Joseph Gerth (co-chair), Olivia Ballard, Laura Brogden, John Caliguri, Acacia Moraes Diniz, Elizabeth Duncan-Scruggs, Tanya Ficklin, Margo Fisher-Bellman, Johanka Hart-Tompkins, Drew McGarvie, Sara McGuire Jay, Heather Lloyd, Ashley Morgan, Nicole Pennekamp, Denise Pfeiffer, Kathy Restle, Pete Riddle, Ferd Schneider, Jessica Smitson, Shauniece Steele, Brian Sweeney, Liz Thelen, Christine Wickemeier

Present (non-voting members): Kylie Bridgeman, Sunny Dighe

<u>Present (non-members)</u>: Matthew Chaney, Daniel Coleman, Chelsie Hoskins, Michelle Martinez, Kathy Nolan, Shybria Pleasant, Lauren Posta, Barrett Smith

1. February Minutes

Discussion: none

Vote: unanimous by voice vote

Special Order of Business

none

Old Business (Originator)

2. Open House (Thelen/Nolan)

Discussion:

- Originators were going to take time to develop a few plans and then bring that to ILT in the future? Some departments were ready to vote today. Are we expanding scope?
- Are we able to change district day/PD day to an open house? Contractual issue? CFOP?
- Where do traveling teachers go? Spaces designated by dept or rotating bells?
- Time flexibility so we don't have everyone coming into the school all at once? Would need permission from district if PD time is missed.
- Sub-committee will address/plan for concerns

<u>Motion</u>: to create subcommittee to refine plan, then bring back to ILT (Thelen, second Pfeiffer)

<u>Vote</u>: approved unanimously by voice vote

3. CCP & AP (SS/Nolan)

Discussion:

- Walnut-specific or district-wide? Walnut-specific
- Any feedback from students taking CCP online classes?
 - Student rep: asynchronous was a nice change of pace from HS schedule.
 - Where (in the building) do students go for online courses? Issues with supervision?
 - There is no code for these students (would need the district to create one) but would be helpful.

- Scheduling conflicts: cannot guarantee time that students will be given for CCP. Is it possible to grant half-days? Issues with master scheduling?

<u>Motion</u>: to form an ad hoc sub-committee to address some of the emerging issues around CCP & AP, will report back to ILT in May (Nolan, second Pfeiffer)

<u>Vote</u>: approved unanimously by voice vote

New Business (Originator)

4. Bell Schedules (various)

- 7 bell two lunch schedule was withdrawn (no capacity for two lunches)
- Straw polling:
 - three day 7-bell, two day block scheduling (none)
 - 7-bell, 4-lunches (three)
 - 8-bell, 3-lunches (~dozen)
 - 7-bell, 3-lunches (~dozen)

Discussion: Consider two options: 8-bell 3-lunches OR 7-bell 3-lunches

- There are scheduling problems with 8 bells and scheduling science classes: double block scheduling for science
- Amendment: replace science's proposed with 8-bell schedule with one without restrictions (grade level lunches, no double-bell science bell 4, etc.). Originator considers amendment unfriendly. Vote: 2-2-10. Vote fails.
- [Discussion extended by voice vote]
- How will we accommodate 30 minute lunches with the bubble as a lunch location? The bubble is not a long-term solution. We'll be back in the expanded lunch room only once completed (expected mid-August).
- Issues with longer lunches or lack of grade level lunches? Feedback from informal surveys seems to show students prefer longer lunches. Mixed feedback on lack of grade-level lunch.
- Student congress strongly favors grade-level lunches; other concerns from students
- [Discussion extended by voice vote]
- Concerns about 8-bell schedule: grade level lunches can cause issues and only three lunches can cause issues with ¼ of teachers having a lunch bell at the same time
- <u>Amendment</u>: For 8-bell schedule, grade level lunches preferred but not required, except no 4th bell lunch for AP science students (friendly)
- <u>Amendment</u>: For 7-bell schedule, split level lunches will rotate by grade level (friendly)

<u>Motion</u>: Send two schedules with ILT minutes & concerns to staff, then ILT members will vote electronically by 3/23 (Lloyd; second Pfeiffer)

9-6-3 does not carry

<u>Motion</u>: Vote on two schedules today (Thelen, second McGuire Jay) 6-3-3 does not carry

Result: Tabled until next month by Gerth (co-chair), moved to April.

5. AP Exam Policy

Discussion:

- Had a plan in the past (to change weighting on AP exam) was not supported by district administration
- MFL fully in favor, English fully opposed
- Could adding a punitive measure popularize CCP?
- Trend seems to be number of students not taking AP exam is increasing
- Can ILT dictate the grades given by teachers of record.

Motion: call to question, accept as written (Pfeiffer, second Sweeney)

Vote: 7-5-3 (does not carry)

Motion to Adjourn: Gerth, second Pfeiffer approved by voice vote

4. ILT Discussed Proposed Bell Schedules [with amendments]

7 Bell Schedule (Originator: A. Morgan)

Amended to rotate split lunch between grade levels each quarter

WALN					
2022-202					
BELL 1	8:00 AM	-	8:50 AM		
BELL 2	8:55 AM	-	9:50 AM		
BELL 3	9:55 AM	-	10:45 AM	10:45-11:15	Lunch
				11:15-11:45	Lunch
BELL 4	10:50 AM	-	12:15 PM	11:45-12:15	Lunch
BELL 5	12:20 PM	-	1:10 PM		
BELL 6	1:15 PM	-	2:05 PM		
BELL 7	2:10 PM	-	3:00 PM		

8 Bell Schedule (Originator: Science Dept)

Amended to prefer grade level lunches (but not required if not possible with Master Schedule), no fourth bell lunch for double-block AP science students.

				Length (min)	
Bell 1	8:00AM	_	8:48AM	48	
Bell 2	8:53AM	_	9:42AM	49	
Bell 3	9:47AM	-	10:35AM	48	
Bell 4 (7/8 lunch)	10:40AM	-	11:28AM	48	
Bell 5 (9/10 lunch)	11:33AM	-	12:21PM	48	Lunch Bells
Bell 6 (11/12 lunch)	12:26PM	_	1:14PM	48	
Bell 7	1:19PM	-	2:07PM	48	
Bell 8	2:12PM	-	3:00PM	48	